Designs for Scale

How to deal with large numbers (millions) of entities in a system?

□ IP devices in the internet (0.5 billion)

□ Users in P2P network (millions)

More generally:

□ Are there advantages to large scale?

□ "For every type of animal there is a most convenient size, and a large change in size *inevitably* carries with it a change of form."
True for networks?

Dealing with scale: Hierarchical routing

Scale: > 500 million destinations:

- Can't store all dest's in routing tables!
- Routing table exchange would swamp links!

Administrative autonomy

- internet = network of networks
- Each network admin may want to control routing in its own network

Hierarchical routing

- Aggregate routers into regions, "autonomous systems" (AS)
- Routers in same AS run same routing protocol
  - "Intra-AS" routing protocol
  - Routers in different AS can run different intra-AS routing protocol

Gateway routers

- Special routers in AS
- Run intra-AS routing protocol with all other routers in AS
- *Also* responsible for routing to destinations outside AS
 - Run *inter-AS routing* protocol with other gateway routers

Intra-AS and inter-AS routing

Gateways:

- Perform inter-AS routing amongst themselves
- Perform intra-AS routers with other routers in their AS

C.b

B.a

A.a

A.c

b

a

C

c

a

b

B

a

d

b

c

inter-AS, intra-AS routing in gateway A.c

Intra-AS routing algorithm

Inter-AS routing algorithm

ROUTING TABLE

network layer

link layer

physical layer

DL

DL

DL

PHY

PHY

PHY

to/from A.b

to/from B.a

to/from A.d

4

Intra-AS and inter-AS routing (2)

Inter-AS routing between A and B

Host h1

Host h2

Intra-AS routing within AS A

Intra-AS routing within AS B

Dealing with scale: Addressing

Old-fashioned "class-full" addressing:

class

A	0 network	host		1.0.0.0 to 127.255.255.255

A | 0 network | host

1.0.0.0 to
127.255.255.255

B | 10 | network | host

128.0.0.0 to
191.255.255.255

C | 110 | network | host

192.0.0.0 to
223.255.255.255

D | 1110 | multicast address

224.0.0.0 to
239.255.255.255

← 32 bits →

IP addressing: CIDR

□ Classful addressing:

○ Inefficient use of address space, address space exhaustion

○ E.g., class B net allocated enough addresses for 65K hosts, even if only 2K hosts in that network

□ CIDR: Classless InterDomain Routing

○ Network portion of address of arbitrary length

○ Address format: a.b.c.d/x, where x is # bits in network portion of address

←————————— network part —————————→ ←—— host part ——→

11001000 00010111 00010000 00000000

200.23.16.0/23

IP addresses: How to get one?

Q: How does *network* get network part of IP addr?

A: Gets allocated portion of its provider ISP's address space

ISP's block	11001000 00010111 00010000 00000000	200.23.16.0/20
Organization 0	11001000 00010111 00010000 00000000	200.23.16.0/23
Organization 1	11001000 00010111 00010010 00000000	200.23.18.0/23
Organization 2	11001000 00010111 00010100 00000000	200.23.20.0/23
...	….. ….	….
Organization 7	11001000 00010111 00011110 00000000	200.23.30.0/23

# Hierarchical addr: Route aggregation

Hierarchical addressing allows efficient advertisement of routing information:

Organization 0
200.23.16.0/23

Organization 1
200.23.18.0/23

Organization 2
200.23.20.0/23

Organization 7
200.23.30.0/23

Fly-By-Night-ISP

ISPs-R-Us

"Send me anything with addresses beginning 200.23.16.0/20"

"Send me anything with addresses beginning 199.31.0.0/16"

Internet

Hierarchical addr: Route aggregation

ISPs-R-Us has a more specific route to Organization 1

Organization 0
200.23.16.0/23

Organization 2
200.23.20.0/23

Organization 7
200.23.30.0/23

Organization 1
200.23.18.0/23

Fly-By-Night-ISP

ISPs-R-Us

"Send me anything with addresses beginning 200.23.16.0/20"

"Send me anything with addresses beginning 199.31.0.0/16 or 200.23.18.0/23"

Internet

10

Hierarchical addr: More specific routes

□ Multiple advertised routes could hold destination
        200.23.16.0/20
        200.23.18.0/23
both hold 200.23.18.7

❑ Always route to *more specific* destination (longest prefix match)

"Send me anything with addresses beginning 200.23.16.0/20"

Fly-By-Night-ISP

Internet

ISPs-R-Us

"Send me anything with addresses beginning 199.31.0.0/16 or 200.23.18.0/23"

Dealing with scale

Question: what are the *advantages* of large scale?

- Take advantage of having to do similar things for others (caching)
- Fault tolerance:
  - Large number of servers
  - We have redundancy; multiple routes between sites
- Metcalfe's law:
  - "Value" of a network is proportional to square of number of things connected (bigger is better)
- Law of large numbers
  - Allocation of resources based on average usage rather than peak
- Amortizing upgrade maintenance over a large population
  - Popular network and services likely to be upgraded/improved
- Denial of service:
  - Size/replication makes it harder to attack
  - More generally, a system with replicated components is more survivable

Dealing with Scale

Discussion: "For every type of animal there is a most convenient size, and a large change in size inevitably carries with it a change of form."

Question: True for networks? Why? How so? Examples?

- Ethernet doesn't scale up: Geographical distance, speed of light delays degrade performance of random access protocols. (geographic scaling). Maybe scale with # users in geographically narrow net if bandwidth scales with users

- As number of communicants scales, need to change/improve manner in which to access communication channel
  - Example: small number of students, versus 500-class lecture. Keeping bandwidth fixed as # users scales

- Email versus HTTP
  - Push systems work ok when small number of sender (email)
  - Pull is better with large number of senders (http)

Dealing with Scale

Discussion: "For every type of animal there is a most convenient size, and a large change in size inevitably carries with it a change of form."

Question: True for networks? Why? How so? Examples?

☐ Routing:
  ○ Large number of users and optimal routes => requires lots of info to compute routes, etc...
  ○ Doesn't scale

☐ Certain services become necessary when you get big
  ○ Name storage/translation: DNS, phone books

☐ A single centralized site eventually breaks
  ○ Need replication or other form of distribution

☐ As network gets bigger flooding breaks
  ○ Use limited flooding, caching (Gnutella)

☐ Switched vs. routed networks
  ○ Change from layer 2 switched networks to layer 3 routed networks as # users gets bigger